



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/076,291	02/15/2002	William Kenneth Bowman		9952	
7:	590 06/16/2003				
John Dodds			EXAM	EXAMINER	
1707 N St., NW			THOMPSON	J HUGH B	
Washington, D	C 20036		11101/11 001	1, 110 di 1 b	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3634		
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2003	<b>,</b>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 10/076,291 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Bowman, William

**Advisory Action** 

Hugh B. Thompson

3634

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Therefore the contract of the	EPLY FILED <u>May 23, 2003</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final on under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for nce; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
	The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
exte app set mai	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate ension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The ropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.□	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 🕱	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
	$\overline{X}$ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: Specifically, in claim 1, the applicant has now recited "hollow" legs, and it is unclear as to whether or not
з. 🗆	the "larger exterior legs" are the hollow legs of line 2. The claim as amended now recites three leg sets, the hollow legs, interior legs, and the larger exterior legs. It is undear as to the number of Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
3.□	The "larger exterior legs" are the hollow legs of line 2. The claim as amended now recites three leg sets, the hollow legs, in terior legs, and the larger exterior legs. It is under as to the number of Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	the "larger exterior legs" are the hollow legs of line 2. The claim as amended now recites three leg sets, the hollow legs, in terior legs, and the larger exterior legs. It is undean as to the number of Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. 🗆	The "larger exterior legs" are the hollow legs of line 2. The claim as amended now recites three leg sets, the hollow legs, interior legs, and the larger exterior legs. It is under as to the number of Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
4. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The all affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
4. 🗆 5. 🗆	the "larger exterior legs" are the hollow legs of line 2. The claim as amended now recites three leg sets, the hollow legs, in fexion legs, and the larger exterior legs. It is under as to the number of Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised
4. □ 5. □ 6. □	the "larger exterior legs" are the hollow legs of line 2. The claim as amended now recites three leg sets, the hollow legs, in felion legs, and the larger exterior legs. It is unclear as to the number of Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s)  a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
4. □ 5. □ 6. □	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \overline{\text{W}} will not be entered or b) \overline{\text{W}} will be allowed:  We "larger exterior legs" are the hollow legs of line 2. The claim as amended now recites three leg sets, the hollow legs of line 2. The claim as amended now recites three leg sets, the hollow legs of line 2. The claim as amended now recites three leg sets, the hollow legs of line 2. The claim as amended now recites three leg sets, the hollow legs of line 2. The claim as amended now recites three leg sets, the hollow legs of line 2. The claim as amended now recites three leg sets, the hollow legs of line 2. The claim as amended now recites three leg sets, the hollow legs of legs. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:
4. □ 5. □ 6. □	the "larger exterior legs" are the hollow legs of line 2. The claim as amended now recites three leg sets, the hollow legs, in fework legs, and the larger exterior legs. It is under as to the number of Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s)
4. □ 5. □ 6. □	the "larger exterior legs" are the hollow legs of line 2. The claim as amended now recites three leg sets, the hollow legs, INFERIOR legs, and the larger exterior legs. INFERIOR legs, and the larger exterior legs. It is unclear as to the number of Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s)
4. □ 5. □ 6. □	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \( \text{Will mill more the entered or b)} \( \text{Will mill more the entered or b)} \( \text{Will mill be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.} \)
4. □ 5. □ 6. □	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)
4. □ 5. □ 6. □ 7. ☒	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \( \text{Will mill more the entered or b)} \( \text{Will mill more the entered or b)} \( \text{Will mill be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.} \)